

Exercise Sheet 5
CS 2210 Logic for Computer Scientists - Spring 2016
Solutions due: March 15, 2016 - 9:30 am

Exercise 37 Show, using truth table, that $(A \wedge B) \wedge (A \rightarrow \neg B)$ is unsatisfiable.

Exercise 38 Is $((B \vee C) \vee A) \wedge (\neg A \wedge \neg B)$ satisfiable? If so, give one of its models.

Exercise 39 Transform $\neg((A \vee B) \wedge (C \vee D) \wedge (E \vee F))$ into CNF.

Exercise 40 Give a NNF, CNF, and DNF of the formula $\neg(I \vee \neg B) \vee \neg F$.

Exercise 41 Give a NNF, CNF, and DNF of the formula $\neg((A \wedge (B \wedge D)) \vee (A \vee F))$.

Exercise 42 (no hand-in) Show by structural induction: For any formula F (with all brackets written), we have $b(F) \leq c(F)$, where $b(F)$ is the number of all opening brackets in F , and $c(F)$ is the number of all connectives in F .

Exercise 43 (no hand-in) Show the following: For all formulas F_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$), $F_1 \vee (F_2 \wedge F_3)$ and $(F_1 \vee E) \wedge (E \leftrightarrow (F_2 \wedge F_3))$ are equisatisfiable (E is a propositional variable not occurring in F_1, F_2, F_3).

Exercise 44 Give a complete tableau for the formula $(\neg p \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg r) \vee (p \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg r)$. Is the formula satisfiable or unsatisfiable?

Exercise 45 Determine if $((p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge \neg q)) \wedge \neg(\neg r \wedge p)$ valid, satisfiable, or unsatisfiable **without** using a truth table.

Exercise 46 Modus Tollens hold if $(\neg Q \wedge (P \rightarrow Q)) \rightarrow \neg P$ is a tautology. Show that this is indeed the case by using the tableaux algorithm.

Exercise 47 Show $\{A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)\} \models (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C)$ using the tableaux algorithm.

Exercise 48 Prove Theorem 2.6.8 part 2: “a formula F is a tautology if and only if there is a closed tableau for $\neg F$.”

Hint: This needs less than two lines: try to reduce it to part 1 of the theorem.

Exercise 49 (no hand-in) For any formula F , let F' be the formula obtained from F by replacing all \vee by \wedge , and by replacing all \wedge by \vee . Furthermore, let \overline{F} be obtained from F by replacing each occurrence of an atomic formula A in F by $\neg A$.

Example: For $F = (A \wedge B) \vee \neg C$, we have $F' = (A \vee B) \wedge \neg C$ and $\overline{F} = (\neg A \wedge \neg B) \vee \neg \neg C$; and $\overline{F'} = (\neg A \vee \neg B) \wedge \neg \neg C$.

Show by structural induction: $F \equiv \neg \overline{F'}$ for each formula F .